

Non-classifiability of automorphisms of C^* -algebras up to unitary equivalence

Martino Lupini

York University
Toronto, Canada

June 21st, 2012

① Complexity of classification problems

- ▶ **Equivalence relations and Borel reduction**
- ▶ **Irreducible representations**

② Unitary equivalence of automorphisms of C^* -algebras

- ▶ **The smooth case**
- ▶ **Hjorth's criterion**
- ▶ **Non-classification by countable structures**

Equivalence relations

Descriptive set theory offers a framework where one can study and compare the **complexity** of different equivalent relations (X, E) .

In this setting,

- the set X of objects is supposed to be endowed with a **Polish topology**, or at least a **standard Borel structure**;
- the relation $E \subset X \times X$ is **Borel** or at least **analytic**.

For example, suppose that

- X is the set $\text{Aut}(A)$ of **automorphisms of a C^* -algebra A** ;
- E is the relation of **unitary equivalence**.

$\text{Aut}(A)$ is a Polish space with respect to the **point-norm topology**, and E is a Borel equivalence relation on $\text{Aut}(A)$.

Borel reduction

A **notion of comparison** between different equivalence relations is given by **(Borel) reduction**.

Definition

A (Borel) reduction from (X, E) to (X', E') is a function $f : X \rightarrow X'$ s.t.

- f is Borel;
- for $x, y \in X$, xEy iff $f(x)E'f(y)$.

If there is a (Borel) reduction from (X, E) to (X', E') , we say that E is **(Borel) reducible** to E' , and we write

$$E \leq E'.$$

Benchmark equivalence relations

These are **benchmark relations** to be used as **measures of complexity**:

- 1 the relation $=_{\mathbb{R}}$ of **equality of real numbers**;
- 2 the **isomorphism** relation $\simeq_{\mathcal{C}}$ in a class \mathcal{C} of **countable structures** (such as groups, rings etc...).

These (families of) relations give a **hierarchy of complexity**, since

$$=_{\mathbb{R}} \leq \simeq_{\mathcal{C}}$$

Definition

An equivalence relation E is called

- 1 **smooth** if it is reducible to $=_{\mathbb{R}}$;
- 2 **classifiable by countable structures** if it is reducible to $\simeq_{\mathcal{C}}$ for some class \mathcal{C} of countable structures.

Glimm's theorem

Suppose that

- A is a separable C^* -algebra;
- \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space;
- $\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{H}}(A)$ is the Polish space of **irreducible representations** of A on \mathcal{H} , endowed with the **topology of pointwise norm convergence**;
- $\sim_{u.e.}^A$ is the relation of **unitary equivalence** on $\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{H}}(A)$, namely

$$\pi \sim_{u.e.}^A \pi' \quad \text{iff} \quad \pi' = \text{Ad}(u) \circ \pi \text{ for some } u \in U(\mathcal{H})$$

Theorem (Glimm, 1961)

For a separable C^* -algebra A , TFAE

- 1 A is **type I**
- 2 the relation $\sim_{u.e.}^A$ on $\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{H}}(A)$ is **smooth**

Non-classification by countable structures

Glimm's result was refined by Kerr-Li-Pichot and, independently, Farah.

Theorem (2009)

If A is a separable **non type I** C^* -algebra, then the relation $\sim_{u.e.}$ is **not classifiable by countable structures**.

The relation E_{ℓ_2} of ℓ_2 -equivalence in $(0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not classifiable.

If \mathbb{M}_{2^∞} is the CAR algebra and A is any non type I C^* -algebra, then

$$E_{\ell_2} \leq \sim_{u.e.}^{\mathbb{M}_{2^\infty}} \leq \sim_{u.e.}^A.$$

The first reduction is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{M}_{2^\infty}) \\ (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} &\mapsto \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_{t_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where ω_{t_i} is the vector state on \mathbb{M}_2 associated to the vector $(\cos t_n, \sin t_n)$

① Complexity of classification problems

- ▶ **Equivalence relations and Borel reduction**
- ▶ **Irreducible representations**

② Unitary equivalence of automorphisms of C^* -algebras

- ▶ **The smooth case**
- ▶ **Hjorth's criterion**
- ▶ **Non-classification by countable structures**

Phillips' theorem

Suppose that

- A is a separable unital C^* -algebra;
- $U(A)$ is the unitary group of A ;
- $\text{Aut}(A)$ is the **automorphism group** of A endowed with the **point-norm topology**;
- $\approx_{u.e.}^A$ is the relation of **unitary equivalence** on $\text{Aut}(A)$, namely

$$\alpha \approx_{u.e.}^A \beta \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha = \text{Ad}(u) \circ \beta \text{ for some } u \in U(A)$$

Theorem (J. Phillips, 1985)

If A is a separable unital C^* -algebra, TFAE

- 1 A has **continuous trace**;
- 2 $\approx_{u.e.}^A$ is **smooth**.

A dichotomy conjecture

Conjecture

If A is a separable unital C^* -algebra which *does not have continuous trace*, then $\approx_{u.e.}^A$ is **not classifiable by countable structures**.

In fact, even the *approximately inner centrally trivial* automorphisms of A are **not classifiable up to unitary equivalence by countable structures**.

Goal: verify this conjecture for most (all?) separable unital C^* -algebras that do not have continuous trace.

In the following, assume that A is a separable unital C^* -algebra.

The UHF case

Suppose that $A = M_2^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ is the **CAR algebra**.

Consider the Borel function

$$F : \begin{array}{l} (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A) \\ (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ad}(u_{t_n}), \end{array}$$

where

$$u_{t_n} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t_n & \sin t_n \\ -\sin t_n & \cos t_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It turns out that F is a **Borel reduction** of E_{ℓ_2} to $\approx_{u.e.}^A$.

Modifying F , one can get automorphisms with the **Rokhlin property**.

A similar reduction can be defined for **UHF algebras**.

Hjorth's criterion

Criterion (Hjorth, 2000)

If there is a Borel function

$$F : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$$

such that

① $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \forall z \in \ell_p,$

$$F(x+z) \approx_{u.e.}^A F(x);$$

② for every comeager $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ there are $x, y \in C$ such that

$$F(x) \not\approx_{u.e.}^A F(y);$$

then $\approx_{u.c.}^A$ is **not classifiable by countable structures**.

The \mathcal{Z} -stable case

Suppose that $A \simeq B \otimes C^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ where

- B, C are C^* -algebras;
- C is **non-abelian**.

For example, if A is \mathcal{Z} -**stable**, $A \simeq A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Fix an element $a \in C_{sa}$ such that $\exp(ia)$ is not in the center.

Define the **continuous group homomorphism**

$$\begin{aligned}
 F : \quad \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(B \otimes C^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}) \\
 (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} &\mapsto id_B \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ad}(\exp(it_n a))
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing id_B with α with the **(weak/tracial) Rohlin property**, one obtains automorphisms with the same property in the range of F .

Observe that

- $F[\ell_1] \subset \text{Inn}(A)$;
- $F(\mathbf{1}) \notin \text{Inn}(A)$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the sequence constantly equal to 1.

It follows that,

- 1 if $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $z \in \ell_1$,

$$F(x+z) = F(x)F(z) \approx_{u.e.} F(x);$$

- 2 $F^{-1}[\text{Inn}(A)]$ is a **proper dense Borel (hence meager) subgroup**;
If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is such that, $\forall x, y \in X$,

$$F(x) \approx_{u.e.} F(y),$$

then X is contained in a coset of $F^{-1}[\text{Inn}(A)]$ and it is meager.

A closer look at the proof

Define

$$x_n = 1_B \otimes \overbrace{1_C \otimes \dots \otimes 1_C}^{n-1 \text{ times}} \otimes a \otimes 1_C \otimes \dots \in U(B \otimes C^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}).$$

Observe that

$$F((t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = id_B \otimes \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ad}(\exp(it_n a)) = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ad} \left(\prod_{k < n} \exp(it_k x_k) \right).$$

- $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ pairwise commuting $\Rightarrow F$ is a homomorphism;
- $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **central** $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ad} \left(\prod_{k < n} \exp(it_k x_k) \right)$ is **well defined**;
- $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **“nontrivial”** $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ad} \left(\prod_{k < n} \exp(it_k x_k) \right)$ is **not inner**.

Central sequences

A bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called

- **central** (C) if $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|[x_n, a]\| = 0$ for every $a \in A$;
- **hypercentral** (HC) if it is central, and $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|[x_n, y_n]\| = 0$ for every central sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$;
- **uniformly central** (UC) if $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \|[x_n, a]\| = 0$;
- **trivially central** (TC) if $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n - z_n\| = 0$ for some $z_n \in Z(A)$.

One has

$$\text{TC} \subseteq \text{UC} \subseteq \text{HC} \subseteq \text{C}$$

Proposition (Elliott, Akemann-Pedersen)

If A is a separable C^* -algebra, TFAE

- A does not have continuous trace;
- $\text{TC} \subsetneq \text{C}$.

Three cases

Suppose that A does not have continuous trace.

There are three (mutually exclusive) cases:

- ① $TC \subseteq UC \subseteq HC \not\subseteq C$;
- ② $TC \subseteq UC \not\subseteq HC = C$;
- ③ $TC \not\subseteq UC = HC = C$.

Consider now Case 1.

Proposition

Suppose that A has a **central sequence which is not hypercentral**:

$$TC \subseteq UC \subseteq HC \not\subseteq C$$

The automorphisms of A are **not classifiable up to unitary equivalence by countable structures**.

Automorphisms from central sequences

Suppose in the following

- $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a central sequence in A ;
- y_n is positive;
- $\|y_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma (1)

There is a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the function

$$F : (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$$

$$(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \lim_n \text{Ad} \left(\prod_{k < n} \exp(it_k x_k) \right)$$

- *is well defined;*
- *is continuous;*
- *sends ℓ_1 -equivalent sequences to unitarily equivalent automorphisms.*

Outer automorphisms from non-trivial central sequences

Lemma (2)

Assume that, moreover, $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not hypercentral.

One can choose $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that, if $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (t'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ are such that $|t_n - t'_n| > \frac{1}{2}$ frequently, then the sequence

$$\left(u \left(\prod_{k < n} \exp(it_k x_k) \right) \left(\prod_{k < n} \exp(it'_k x_k) \right)^* \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is not central,

i.e.

$$F((t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \not\approx_{u.e.} F((t'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

Nonclassification in Case 1

Proposition

Suppose that A has a **central sequence which is not hypercentral**:

$$TC \subseteq UC \subseteq HC \not\subseteq C$$

The automorphisms of A are **not classifiable up to unitary equivalence by countable structures**.

Observe that, if $C \subset (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is comeager, it contains $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (t'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|t_n - t'_n| > \frac{1}{2}$ frequently.

Thus, if $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and F are as in Lemma 2, then F satisfies the hypothesis of Horth's criterion:

- 1 F sends ℓ_1 -equivalent sequences to unitarily equiv. automorphisms;
- 2 if $C \subset (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is comeager, F sends at least two elements of C to non-unitarily equivalent automorphisms.

Nonclassification in Case 2

Proposition

Suppose that A

- every central sequence of A is hypercentral, and
- there is a (hyper)central sequence which is not uniformly central:

$$TC \subseteq UC \subsetneq HC = C$$

The automorphisms of A are **not classifiable up to unitary equivalence by countable structures.**

The proof is analogous to Case 1.

Conclusion

Case 3: work in progress....

One can put together Case 1 and Case 2, obtaining the

Theorem (L., 2012)

*If A contains a central sequence which is not uniformly central, then the automorphisms of A are **not classifiable up to unitary equivalence by countable structures.***

This covers most of the C^* -algebras that do not have continuous trace, in particular the simple ones.