

Conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy  
of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  are not Borel  
(joint work with Eusebio Gardella)

Martino Lupini

York University and Fields Institute

June 20th, 2014

# Table of Contents

- 1 Conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy
- 2 Obstructions to classification
- 3 Actions on  $\mathcal{O}_2$

# Table of Contents

- 1 Conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy
- 2 Obstructions to classification
- 3 Actions on  $\mathcal{O}_2$

# Actions of countable groups on $C^*$ -algebras

Let  $G$  be a **countable group**, and  $A$  be a **separable unital  $C^*$ -algebra**

$\text{Aut}(A)$  denotes the group of automorphisms of  $A$

An **action**  $G \curvearrowright_{\alpha} A$  is a group homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$

# Conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy

Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright_{\alpha} A$  and  $G \curvearrowright_{\beta} A$  are actions

## Definition

$\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are **conjugate** if there is  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(A)$  such that

$$\gamma \alpha_g = \beta_g \gamma$$

for  $g \in G$ .

## Definition

Say that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are **cocycle conjugate** if

$$\alpha^u \text{ and } \beta \text{ are conjugate}$$

for some **cocycle**  $u$ .

## Problems:

- classify actions  $G \curvearrowright_{\alpha} A$  up to conjugacy
- classify actions  $G \curvearrowright_{\alpha} A$  up to cocycle conjugacy
- classify (simple) crossed products  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$

# Table of Contents

- 1 Conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy
- 2 Obstructions to classification
- 3 Actions on  $\mathcal{O}_2$

# Obstructions to classification

There might be **intrinsic obstructions** to perform such classification in a **constructive** way, and using **simple** invariants

## Definition

A classification is constructive when it is witnessed by a Borel map

This covers all satisfactory classification results in mathematics

## Theorem (Farah, Toms, Törnquist, IMRN, 2012)

*The computation of the Elliott invariant is given by a Borel map*

Borel complexity theory detects and quantifies obstructions to classification

## Theorem (Farah, Toms, Törnquist, Crelle's, 2014)

*Simple  $A1$  algebras are not classifiable using as invariants countable structures –such as countable (dimension) groups, rings, etc–*



# Nonclassification of automorphisms

## Theorem (Kerr, L., Phillips, 2013)

If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorbing, then actions  $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright_{\alpha} A$  are not classifiable *up to conjugacy* using countable structures as invariants.

## Theorem (L., 2013)

Actions  $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright_{\alpha} A$  are not classifiable *up to unitary equivalence* using countable structures as invariants if (and only if)  $A$  is not a finite direct sum of homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras.

This in particular *rules out classification by  $K$ -theoretic data*.

This does not rule out a spectral-theorem-type classification.

## Theorem (Kechis-Sofronidis, Erg. Th. Dyn. Syst., 2001)

*The invariants used in the spectral theorem transcend countable structures*

# Open problems

Problems:

- 1 What about classification up to cocycle conjugacy?
- 2 What about classification of (simple) crossed products?

With E. Gardella we looked at these problems for **the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$** .

## Why is this interesting?

Borel complexity theory offers a framework for the classification program.

It makes “obstructions to classification” precise and quantifiable.

It offer tools to detect and exhibit such obstructions to classifications.

In other words, it tells us what we can and what we can not do.

# Classification problems as equivalence relations

## Definition

A **classification problem** is an equivalence relation on a Polish space

$\text{Aut}(A)$  is a Polish group w.r.t. the topology of pointwise convergence

The space  $\text{Act}(G, A)$  of actions  $G \curvearrowright A$  is a Polish subspace of  $\text{Aut}(A)^G$

## Example

Conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy are equivalence relations on  $\text{Act}(G, A)$   
Similarly for the relation of “inducing isomorphic crossed products”

# Borel equivalence relations

## Definition

An equivalence relation  $E$  on a Polish space  $X$  is **Borel** if it is a Borel subset of  $X \times X$ .

Many natural equivalence relations are Borel.

## Example

Isomorphism of **finitely generated** structures is Borel.

E.g. isomorphism of finitely generated (dimension) groups, rings, etc.

## Example

Measure equivalence of **Borel measures** on  $\mathbb{T}$  is Borel.

This is the type of invariants used in the spectral theorem.

# Non Borel relations are (somewhat) intractable

## Fact

Showing that an equivalence relation is *not Borel* rules out

- 1 classification by finitely generated structures,
- 2 spectral-theorem-type classification, and
- 3 more generally classification by Borel invariants

## Theorem (Camerlo, Gao, TAMS, 2001)

Conjugacy of *homeomorphisms of the Cantor space* is not Borel.

## Theorem (Foreman, Rudolph, Weiss, Annals, 2011)

Conjugacy of *ergodic measure preserving transformation of the Lebesgue measure space* is not Borel.

# Table of Contents

- 1 Conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy
- 2 Obstructions to classification
- 3 Actions on  $\mathcal{O}_2$

# Actions on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$

## Theorem (Gardella, L., 2014)

*The relations of conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy of actions  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$  are not Borel.*

In fact this statement holds replacing  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with any (nontrivial) finitely generated abelian group.

## Problem

*Are the actions  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$  classifiable up to cocycle conjugacy by countable structures?*

## Theorem (Gardella, L., 2014)

*The relation of isomorphisms of simple purely infinite UCT crossed products  $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  (with trivial  $K_1$ ) is not Borel.*



# Idea of the proof for actions $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$

## Theorem (Gardella, L., 2014)

*The relations of conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy of (Rokhlin dimension 1) actions  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$  are not Borel.*

What does this mean?

Borel sets are those that can be constructed

- starting from open sets,
- taking countable intersections,
- and then countable unions,
- and then countable intersections,
- ...
- and so on by transfinite recursion

Each Borel set is obtained at a given stage after countably (but possibly transfinitely) many steps.

## Open sets in the space of (pairs of) actions

A **basic open set** in the space of pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of actions  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$  is of the form

$$\{(\alpha, \beta) : \|\alpha(x_i) - y_i\| < \varepsilon, \|\beta(x_i) - z_i\| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

for  $x_i, y_i, z_i \in \mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Asserting that  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to such open sets corresponds to **specifying the values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on a finite set up to a certain error  $\varepsilon$** .

# A reformulation of the main theorem

## Theorem (Reformulation)

*There does not exist a procedure or algorithm that*

- *takes as input a pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of actions  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$*
- *runs for countably (possibly transfinitely many) steps*
- *at each step evaluating  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on a given (arbitrarily large) finite set*
- *up to an (arbitrarily small) strictly positive error*
- *and at the end of the computation decides whether  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are (cocycle) conjugate or not.*

# Borel reducibility

The proof relies on the notion of Borel reduction.

Suppose that  $E$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ ,  
and  $F$  is an equivalence relation on  $Y$

## Definition

$E$  is **Borel reducible** to  $F$  ( $E \leq_B F$ ) if there is a Borel  $f : X \rightarrow Y$   
(called **Borel reduction**) such that  $\forall x, x' \in X$

$$xE x' \text{ iff } f(x) F f(x')$$

## Fact

*If  $E \leq_B F$  and  $E$  is not Borel, then  $F$  is not Borel.*

## Strategy of the proof

We want to find an equivalence relation  $E$  that is not Borel, and a Borel reduction from  $E$  to (cocycle) conjugacy of actions  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$

This is done constructing a “Borel inverse” to the map that assigns to an automorphism the  $K$ -theory of the crossed product.

### Theorem (Downey-Montalbán, after Hjorth)

*The relation of isomorphism of torsion free abelian groups is not Borel.*

The same argument shows that:

### Fact

*The relation of isomorphism of countable torsion-free  $p$ -divisible self-absorbing (i.e. such that  $G \cong G \oplus G$ ) abelian groups  $G$  is not Borel.*

# Constructing Kirchberg algebras with given $K$ -theory

## Lemma

*There is a Borel map*

$$G \mapsto A_G$$

*assigning to a countable discrete group  $G$  a simple UCT Kirchberg algebra with  $K$ -theory*

$$K_*(A_G) \cong (G, 0, \{0\})$$

The construction is an effective version of an argument of Rørdam.

# Model actions

## Theorem (Izumi, Duke, 2004)

There is an action  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright_{\nu} \mathcal{O}_2$  (of Rokhlin dimension 1) such that

$$K_*(\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_{\nu} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \left( \mathbb{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, 0, \{0\} \right)$$

## Theorem (Barlak, Szabó, 2014)

For  $p > 2$  there is an action  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright_{\nu} \mathcal{O}_2$  (of Rokhlin dimension 1) such that

$$K_*(\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_{\nu} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \left( \mathbb{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ p \end{bmatrix}, 0, \{0\} \right)$$

Using the model action one can define the action

$$\nu \otimes id_{A_G} : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes A_G$$

## Fact

The algebra

$$A \rtimes_{\nu \otimes id_{A_G}} (\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes A_G)$$

is a UCT Kirchberg with K-theory

$$\left( \mathbb{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes G, 0, \{0\} \right)$$

for  $p = 2$  and

$$\left( \left( \mathbb{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} \right) \otimes G, 0, \{0\} \right)$$

for  $p > 2$ .



# From groups to actions

Let  $G, H$  be countable groups.

The following statements are in decreasing order of strength

- 1  $A_G \cong A_H$
- 2  $\nu \otimes id_{A_G}$  and  $\nu \otimes id_{A_H}$  are conjugate
- 3  $\nu \otimes id_{A_G}$  and  $\nu \otimes id_{A_H}$  are cocycle conjugate
- 4  $(\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes A_G) \rtimes_{\nu \otimes id_{A_G}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes A_H) \rtimes_{\nu \otimes id_{A_H}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$

If  $G$  and  $H$  are uniquely  $p$ -divisible and self-absorbing, then the statements 1–4 are all equivalent.

# From group to actions

## Theorem

*There is a Borel function*

$$G \mapsto \alpha_G : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$$

*where  $\alpha_G$  is conjugate to  $\nu \otimes id_{A_G} : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes A_G$ .*

Such function is a **Borel reduction** from isomorphism of countable torsion-free  $p$ -divisible self-absorbing abelian groups to conjugacy and cocycle conjugacy of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_2$

# From groups to crossed products

## Theorem

*The crossed product  $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_G} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  can be computed in a Borel way.*

The function

$$G \mapsto \mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_G} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

is a **Borel reduction** from isomorphism of countable torsion-free  $p$ -divisible self-absorbing abelian groups  $G$  to isomorphism of simple purely infinite UCT crossed products  $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$